The annual business meeting of the Mozart Society of America will take place at 12:15 P.M. on Friday, 5 November 2010, during this year’s meeting of the American Musicological Society in Indianapolis.

The business meeting will be immediately followed by a study session chaired by Dorothea Link, and consisting of a lecture-recital by Jennifer Goode Cooper, soprano; Sean Cooper, bass; and Kevin Bylsma, piano, all members of the faculty at Bowling Green State University. The program will consist of arias and ensembles from operas by Stephen Storace, Salieri, Paisiello, Sarti, Martín y Soler, and Mozart, introduced and discussed by the performers.

Readers of the Newsletter will be saddened to learn of the death of musicologist Wye Jamison (Wendy) Allanbrook, on July 15, at her home in Oakland, California. The cause of death was cancer. Wendy is survived by a son, John, and two sisters. She also leaves behind a large community of colleagues, students, and friends.

A long-time member of the Mozart Society of America, Allanbrook will be familiar to most of this readership as the author of one of the most influential works in modern-day Mozart criticism: *Rhythmic Gesture in Mozart: ‘Le nozze di Figaro’ and ‘Don Giovanni’* (Chicago, 1983). At a time when taxonomies of form and rhetoric dominated the analysis of late eighteenth-century music, Allanbrook heard Mozart’s music through the rhythms of social dance and the conversational, highly dramatic play of musical topoi. In her monograph and in such subsequent articles as “‘To Serve the Private Pleasure’: Expression and Form in the String Quartets” (in *Wolfgang Amadé Mozart: Essays on His Life and His Music*, Oxford, 1996),
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Honor of Leonard G. Ratner (Stuyvesant, NY, 1992), to which she contributed the acclaimed essay, “Two Threads through the Labyrinth: Topic and Process in the First Movements of K.332 and K.333.” She also edited the late eighteenth-century volume of the revised edition of Source Readings in Music History (New York, 1998). At her death she was writing The Secular Commedia: Comic Mimesis in Late 18th-Century Music, which will be published by University of California Press.

From 1969 to 1995, Allanbrook taught at St. John’s College in Annapolis, Maryland. In 1994, she went to the University of California, Berkeley, first as the visiting Ernest Bloch Professor and from 1995 on the regular faculty. She was chair of the music department from 1997 to 2003, and played a central role in raising the funds and supervising the plans for the Jean Hargrove Music Library, which was dedicated during her last year as chair. She served as president of the American Musicological Society in 2003, until illness forced her resignation.

In 2005 I had the good fortune to participate in the last seminar Wendy taught at UC Berkeley, on Mozart’s instrumental music. My fellow graduate students and I all agreed that the course had a charmed atmosphere, both challenging and congenial, and it served as a hint of what generations of her students both at Berkeley and in the seminar rooms of St. John’s had enjoyed under her tutelage. Even her casual emails to us in advance of meetings were print-worthy in their astuteness; at the same time, she wasn’t too cerebral to be above gushing about the Swingle Singers. This past spring, Wendy was a featured speaker at the Berkeley conference “After The Magic Flute” (see also the report on the conference elsewhere in this issue). Her talk was an elegant, funny, and highly personal meditation on the historiography of what she called Mozart’s “magnificent ragbag.” One particularly touching turn of phrase came during Wendy’s defense of Papageno:

“In a sense the Bird-Couple stands for the whole human race, a bit lazy, not exceedingly courageous, fond of worldly pleasures, but drawn to their appropriate Others as if by powerful magnets, and celebrating the normative values of the earthly paradise.”

As an instructor and as a writer, Wendy was a passionate advocate of what she once described as “the enduring affirmation of [Mozart’s] commedia per musica.” She wrote in a guest column for this Newsletter (August 1999) that Mozart “spoke to his audience by reflecting back to them representations of their own humanity—glinting fragments of the habitual human motions and gestures that constituted their particular social world.” Mozart’s play with convention and topos appealed to her own temperament, she reflected, because “I tend to dwell contentedly in convention, and have prized the sociable above the hermetic, the comedy of manners above the picaresque.” And yet her abiding preference for the poetics—one might even say the politics—of the comic mode represents no cock-eyed optimism on her part, or for that matter on Mozart’s. As she so poignantly expressed in a short article, “Mozart’s Happy Endings” (Mozart-Jahrbuch 1984/85), the lieto fine of Le nozze di Figaro (and of comedy as a whole) “may not necessarily be the crown of a serene and sane society; it may indeed be a lid clapped on disorder and despair.”

The sharp edge of Mozart’s wit always found reflection in Wendy’s own witty, sharp-edged prose. I have quoted her so frequently in this remembrance not just because words are all I have left of her, but because her words continue to breathe so vividly. In her, we have lost an expert on Mozart and a challenging thinker, but also a woman who embodied in her writings and in her own personality the same irreducible humanity, the same equipoise, that she so often attributed to her lifelong subject. We have lost a true Mozarian.

—Adeline Mueller
University of California, Berkeley
From the President

This issue of our Mozart Society Newsletter marks the debut of our fifth editor, Stephen C. Fisher. A founding member of the MSA, Steve is a distinguished scholar with numerous publications on the instrumental music of the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries. In addition to articles in the New Grove Dictionary of Music and Musicians, the New Grove Dictionary of Opera and many scholarly periodicals, he has edited symphonies of Haydn for the Joseph Haydn Werke and symphonies of Eberl, Reicha, and Witt for The Symphony 1720-1840. He has served on the editorial staff of Carl Philipp Emanuel Bach: The Complete Works in Cambridge, Massachusetts, for which he edited a volume of Bach’s sonatinas for keyboard and orchestra (in press). He is currently editing another volume of symphonies for the Haydn Werke. His first contact with musicology came at the age of sixteen when he located a Köchel catalog to verify that K. 504 had no minuet. Among many encounters with the music of Mozart in forty-plus years as a violist, he particularly recalls playing in the orchestra that performed the live musical examples in a course on the piano concertos taught by Robert D. Levin at Harvard University in 2005. I know you will agree that we are extremely fortunate to have Steve as the editor of our Newsletter, and I invite you to send him your Mozart-related items for inclusion in future issues.

It is also with great pleasure that I announce that the MSA Board has elected two new honorary members, Pierluigi Petrobelli and Tomislav Volek.

Pierluigi Petrobelli, Professor of Music at the University of Rome, director of the Istituto di Studi Verdiani in Parma, and a member of the Akademie für Mozart-Forschung in Salzburg, has published extensively on music from the fifteenth century to the present. His wide range of musical interests is apparent in the collection of his essays published under the title Music in the Theater: Essays on Verdi and Other Composers (Princeton, 1994). Similarly wide-ranging are the contents of a Festschrift dedicated to him, Pensieri per un maestro: Studi in onore di Pierluigi Petrobelli (Torino, 2002), which also documents the amazing size and diversity of the group of scholars throughout the world who have the privilege of calling Petrobelli their colleague, maestro, and friend.

Tomislav Volek, President of the Czech Mozart Society and a member of the Czech Academy of Sciences, is one of the world’s leading Mozart scholars. Spending much of his career in Prague during a period when a Communist government made it all but impossible to travel outside the country, Volek made a virtue of necessity by exploring the musical life of eighteenth-century Bohemia, with particular emphasis on Mozart’s activities in Prague. Meticulous archival research combined with a willingness to advance daring new hypotheses led to a series of pathbreaking articles, one of which, “Über den Ursprung von Mozarts Oper La clemenza di Tito” (Mozart-Jahrbuch, 1959), contributed to a fundamental reassessment of one of Mozart’s late operas. His work on Don Giovanni and its Bohemian context is also of crucial importance, as is his edition of Mozart documents, The Mozartiana of Czech and Moravian Archives (Prague, 1991).

Both Petrobelli and Volek have honored the Mozart Society of America by accepting membership in our Society. I hope you will join me in welcoming them.

—John A. Rice

Mozart Society of America

Object and Goals

Object

The object of the Society shall be the encouragement and advancement of studies and research about the life, works, historical context, and reception of Wolfgang Amadé Mozart, as well as the dissemination of information about study and performance of related music.

Goals

1. Provide a forum for communication among scholars (mostly but not exclusively American); encourage new ideas about research concerning Mozart and the late eighteenth century.

2. Present reviews of new publications, recordings, and unusual performances, and information about dissertations.

3. Support educational projects dealing with Mozart and the eighteenth-century context.

4. Announce events—symposia, festivals, concerts—local, regional, and national.

5. Report on work and activities in other parts of the world.

6. Encourage interdisciplinary scholarship by establishing connections with such organizations as the American Society for Eighteenth-Century Studies.

7. Serve as a central clearing house for information about Mozart materials in the Americas.
Mozart’s two sojourns in the Viennese suburbs Landstraße and Alsergrund in 1787 and 1788-89 respectively have always been regarded as a result of the composer’s immediate financial problems. This may have been caused by the reaction of Leopold Mozart, who, when he learned of his son’s move to the outskirts, on 11 May 1787, wrote to his daughter: ‘Your brother is now living at Landstraße No. 224. He doesn’t write me any reason for this. Absolutely nothing! Unfortunately I can guess why.’[2] For a number of reasons a reevaluation of Mozart’s situation in 1787 yields a slightly different picture: 1) in Mozart’s time it was certainly not a sign of financial straits to spend the summer on the outskirts of town; 2) Constanze’s pregnancy might have been a good reason to get away from the inner city;[3] and 3) although it has been thought that Mozart paid 150 Gulden rent per year on the Landstraße, the 1787 tax register suggests that he actually rented the biggest apartment in the house on the second floor for 200 Gulden.[4] Mozart’s landlord on the Landstraße was Joseph Urban Weber, a ‘government and market commissioner’ of the Vienna Magistracy.[5]. The quarters on the Landstraße were of course relatively modest compared to the exceptionally luxurious apartment in the Camesinahaus (Stadt 846), where for an annual rent of 450 Gulden the Mozart family could dispose of four rooms, two closets, a kitchen, a cellar, an attic and two vaults for storing firewood.[6]

The house Alsergrund No. 135 was built in the first half of the 18th century. It consisted of two main parts: one with three floors towards the Währingerasse and the other with two floors facing the garden. These two units were connected by two wings that were six meters wide and surrounded the courtyard between the main units of the building. In addition to rooms they also contained stables and sheds for carriages. As can be seen on Joseph Daniel von Huber’s famous plan of Vienna[10], in about 1770 the garden of No. 135 extended all the way north-eastwards to a building in the Drei Mohren Gasse (today Liechtensteinstraße, the so-called Quergasse [today Wasagasse] did not exist yet).

According to the printed inscription on the first page of the 32 volumes of the Josephinische Steuerfassion (Formular II)[11] these tax registers (drawn up by the ‘Magistratischer Stellvertreter in Häusersteuerregulierungs-Geschäften’ Joseph Rötzer) cover a one-year-period-beginning on 23 April 1787: ‘Verzeichniß der fatedten Zinsenfrage der Häuser, für das verflossene ganze Jahr von Georgi 1787 bis Georgi 1788 nach den einzelnen Angaben,
mit den hierüber erfolgten amtlichen Berichtigungen / Nach dem Patentsformular Nro. II’. But this does not mean that these records really cover exactly this period of time. Actually they represent the rental status of the following year and some of the entries even refer to the year 1790. Therefore Mozart is not registered in the Steuerfassion under Landstraße 224, but in the volume that contains his address for the following year, i.e. the tax register of the ‘Gemeinde Alster= und Währingergasse’ (Alsergrund).[12]

Here, in the house that since 1735 had been in the possession of the family von Schickh[13], we find Mozart as tenant of his ‘certainly modest suburb apartment’[14], where (according to the Austrian historian Robert Franz Müller) ‘for the master, who wrote his last three symphonies there, poverty increased beyond measure’. [15] Following his proven habit Mozart rented the biggest apartment in the house, the spacious Gartenwohnung:

Zu ebener Erde. […]

No. 5) The garden apartment with 7 rooms a kitchen, cellar, a firewood vault, a stable for two horses, one carriage shed and the garden of Herr von Mozart for 250 Gulden

It turns out that by moving away from the inner city of Vienna Mozart had certainly not reduced his expenses (as claimed in his letter to Puchberg), but merely increased the housing space at his disposal. For the apartment that he seems to have rented at his former address—Stadt 281—he had paid exactly same amount of 250 Gulden. It is very revealing to look at the other apartments in the house Alsergrund 135 and the annual rent their tenants had to pay for them:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Tenant</th>
<th>Rent (fl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First floor</td>
<td>Peter Dußl</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Christoph Doppler[17]</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andreas Kurzmayer[18]</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anton Passak</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mozart (the garden apartment)</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the janitor’s apartment</td>
<td>free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second floor</td>
<td>Pater Leander[19]</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joseph Gortmann[20]</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathias Finkh</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michael Thurn</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third floor</td>
<td>Bernhard Weissenecker</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elisabet Reyberger[21]</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rosalia Hrdlicka[22]</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Philipp Ernest</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First floor in the back</td>
<td>Theresia Döbler</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathias Bernhard</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second floor in the back</td>
<td>Johann Merkl</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Richard Wittmann</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frau von Pöck[23] [a cellar]</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mozart obviously resided in the part of the building that the aristocratic former owners of the house (Johann Herwaldt Füllgraf von Schöndorff, Johann Georg von Buol-Schauenstein or Bernhard Franz von Schickh) had projected for their own use. What were the exact dimensions of the house Alsergrund 135 and how big was Mozart’s seven-room-apartment? After the reforms of Joseph II in 1783, every modification of a building in Vienna had to be applied for at the ‘Unterkammeramt’ together with the submission of a plan of the changes that were to be made. A search for plans in the holdings of this department shows that of the six
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applications submitted between 1799 and 1842 only one survives. This file turns out to be an archival piece of luck: on 13 May 1836 Theresia Bock, who at that time was the owner of the house, submitted an application to the magistrate for permission to erect a number of new walls in the “rear wing of her house Alservorstadt N° 275”[24]. The plan drawn by the master builder Andreas Lechner shows Mozart’s former apartment and provides us with exact measurements of this historic lodging. The calculations as to how much space Mozart had at his disposal in his Gartenwohnung yield interesting results and one realizes that these quarters were really not that expensive, as they were very spacious.[25] The net floor space of Mozart’s apartment amounted to about 198 square meters (roughly 2130 square feet; 189 square meters without the additional two rooms on the left). The main room alone of this apartment (which was to be divided into two rooms in 1836) in the center of the garden wing covered about 60 square meters. All kinds of speculations come to mind as to why for Mozart needed this huge amount of space. Did he organize rehearsals of his last three symphonies in this room? It seems rather unlikely that Mozart rented such a big apartment to sublet part of it. The report in Preisler’s Journal from 1789[26], the only eyewitness account that we have of Mozart’s life on the Alsergrund, makes no mention of such minor matters as servants or any cohabitants.

There are two other notable details in Mozart’s tax register entry: the fact that his quarters included a stable and a shed for a carriage make it seem likely that he already owned a horse. It might well have been the nag that he sold on 7 October 1791 for fourteen ducats.[27]

The other detail is Mozart’s being addressed as ‘von Mozart”, i.e. with a predicate of nobility. It is true that the employee of the Steueramt who drew up the list of tenants distributed quite a number of undeserved titles in his list, but the fact that he added one to Mozart’s name is very telling as far as the composer’s social status is concerned. It was Mozart’s appearance and the size of his apartment that might have been reason enough for the revenue officer to be careful not to deny a well deserved status. After all, being a recipient of the first grade of the Papal Order of the Golden Spur, Mozart had more right to consider himself a nobleman than his colleague Gluck, who had only received the third grade of that order. Two sources related to the death of Mozart’s daughter Theresia on 29 June 1788 in the house on the Alsergrund suggest that during his stay in the suburb Mozart indeed passed himself off as a nobleman.[28]

In January 1789, probably earlier than he had planned originally, Mozart moved back to the city into the house Stadt No. 245, which at that time belonged to Count Heinrich von Heßenstamm.[29] We do not know the reason for Mozart’s return within the city walls, but we can estimate the immediate effect that this change of address had on his finances. The house “Zum St. Nikolaus” (today Judenplatz 4) consisted of five stories. Based on the information given in the Steuerfassion we come to the following conclusions regarding Mozart’s new quarters: the former cellar (now turned into a store) was rented to a gardener; the first floor housed a hardware shop (“Herrn Straßlers Eisler Gewölb”) and a chamber for the janitor. Each one of the three following upper floors consisted of only one apartment with six rooms and a kitchen. The rents of these apartments were 345, 400 and 300 Gulden respectively. The fifth story of the building was divided into four separate one-room-apartments at 100, 55, 80 and 50 Gulden. There can be no doubt that in 1789 Mozart rented one of the six-room apartments, thus increasing his rental expenses at least by twenty percent.[30]
Mozart’s annual rents between 1787 and 1791:

- Until May 1787 (Stadt 846) 450 fl
- May-December 1787 (Landstraße No. 224) 200 fl
- December 1787-June 1788 (Stadt 281) 230 fl (or 250 fl)
- June 1788-January 1789 (Alsergrund 135) 250 fl
- January 1789-September 1790 (Stadt 245) 300 fl (or 400 fl)
- September 1790-December 1791 (Stadt 970) 330 fl (or 420 fl including the stable)

The house where Mozart had lived on the Alsergrund was torn down in 1891. Although the people involved in the destruction of the old building must have known of the house’s history—after all a prominent memorial plaque with a decorative frame had been put on the façade right above the entrance—there seem to have been no efforts at all to preserve the old house on a photograph. On 26 November 1887 an anonymous author (‘W’) published a short feature on Mozart’s Viennese lodgings in the newspaper Neue Freie Presse. This article contains the only description of the old garden wing of Alsergrund 135, only a few years before its destruction:

> Vergebens haben wir in der Währingerstraße nach einem Nr. 135 gesucht. Das heutige Nr. 26 (alt 275) dieser Straße führte einst das Schild “Zu den 3 Sternen”; im Hintergrunde des Hofes steht, von kaufmännischen Zubauten verklebt und verunstaltet, ein einstöckiges Gebäude, eine Art Gartenpavillon aus dem vorigen Jahrhundert; im Nebenhaus kann man noch ein Stück Garten sehen, vor dem Hause selbst einen schief gewachsenen, kümmerlichen Baumkrüppel. [...] Haben wir uns hier im vorstädtischen Straßenlärm, unter dem Rollen und Rasseln des modernen Geschäfts eines jener Mozarthäuser zu denken, wo in ländlicher Weltflucht einige der erstaunlichsten Kunstwerke das Licht der Welt erblickten? Wahrscheinlich ist dieser Pavillon, der wie ein altes Möbelstück unter neuerem Hausrath verschwindet, das *Così-fan-tutte*-Haus; aber auch hier lohnte es sich der Mühe, Klarheit zu schaffen.[31]

We searched in vain for a number 135 in the Währingerstraße. Today’s No. 26 (formerly 275) once bore the sign ‘At the Three Stars’; in the background of the yard, garbled and overpastored by commercial annexes, there is a two story building, a kind of garden pavilion from the last century; in the adjacent house we can still see a piece of the garden, in front of the house there is a scruffy and crippled tree.[...]

> Hier among the suburban street noise, the rattle and roll of modern business, should we imagine one of those Mozart houses, where in rural seclusion some of the most astounding works of art saw the light of the day? This pavilion which like an old piece of furniture disappears among the new household goods may well be the *Così fan tutte* house; it would also be worth the effort to establish clarity in that matter.

I have so far been unable to locate a picture of the old building. The legendary photographer August Stauda came too late when he took pictures of houses on this side of the Währingerstraße in 1901.[32] Only one picture related to this lost Mozart site survived in the estate of the local historian Robert Franz Müller (1864-1933). It shows a part of the garden that had once belonged to Mozart’s lodgings. On the back of the photograph Müller wrote: ‘Remains of the garden that in 1788 belonged to Mozart’s apartment (today Währingerstraße 26). There Mozart wrote the opera Così fan tutte and the three grand symphonies in G minor, C major and E flat major. He lived there from 17 June 1788 until Michaelmas 1790 [sic] struggling against abject poverty. From there he moved to the apartment in the Rauhensteingasse [sic] where he died on 5 December 1791. The sculptured stone right beside the tree is said to originate from Mozart’s times. The picture was taken by Hans Saiitz who had a studio there.’[33]

What conclusion can be drawn from the archival sources related to Mozart’s apartment in 1788? It seems that Mozart’s main reason for moving to the outskirts of Vienna was not to reduce his costs, but to take advantage of the better living conditions in more spacious environs. Not unlike Beethoven he seems at certain times to have needed a proximity to nature to enhance his creativity. Owing to the sheer size of his apartment and the high cost of the rent on the Alsergrund, Mozart’s move to this suburb in June 1788 did not lead to a real cutback of his expenses. It rather led to a (possibly unaffordable) improvement of Mozart’s quality of life. The circumstances of his choice of lodgings show him as a man of the world, who in spite of being faced with a major decline in income is unable to reduce the living standards to which he has become accustomed.

—Michael Lorenz Vienna

[1] My research for this Mozart trifle was prompted by Gunther G. Bauer’s article ‘Mozarts hohe Licht- und Heizkosten 1781-1792’, Mitteilungen der Gesellschaft für Salzburger Landeskunde, (Salzburg 2008), 147-86 (recently published in a translation on www.aproposmozart.com), where Bauer tried to shed more light on Mozart’s expenses during the final ten years of his life. Unfortunately Bauer’s article contains a number of errors and misunderstandings, caused by ignorance of relevant sources and an almost enviable trust in the secondary literature. Some of the eighteenth-century archival sources, on which my research is based (i.e. the *Josephinische Steuerfassion und der Baukonsense des Unterammerger*) are already dealt with in my article “New and Old Documents Concerning Mozart’s Students Barbara Ploey and Josepha Auernhammer”, *Eighteenth-Century Music* 3 (2006): 311-22 (based on a lecture given at Cornell University in March 2003). My first work with these pivotal sources dates back to 1999, when I did research for Dexter Edge. See Dexter Edge, Mozart’s Viennese Copyists, (Ph.D. diss., University of Southern California, 2001), 488 and 1306. The *Steuerfassion* as a possible source for Mozart’s lodgings was already referred to in 1956. See O. E. Deutsch, ‘Mozarts letztes Quartier’, *Österreichische Musikzeitchrift*, 4 (1956): 129.

[2] MBA IV, 44. Because most of the published translations of Mozart’s letters are fraught with inaccuracies, I use my own. In 1786 Mozart had already rented a garden on the Landstraße where he used to play skittles and gave lessons to his pupil Freystädter: ‘[...] so zu Beispiel erzählte er öfters, daß Mozart auf der Landstraße einen kleinen Garten gemietet hatte, in welchem er sich mit einigen Freunden sehr gerne mit Kegelspiel unterhielt. Freystädter hatte seine Unterrichtsstunden meistens zur Zeit, wo Mozart mit diesem Spiele beschäftigt war, und erhielt, an einem Seitentischchen sitzend, bei solchen Gelegenheiten nur von Zeit zu Zeit einen flüchtigen Blick in seine musikalischen Ausarbeitungen oder ein kurzes belehrendes Wort.’ Anton Hackel, ‘Erinnerungen I. Franz Freystädter’, *Allgemeine Wiener Musik-Zeitung* 121/1842 (8 October 1842): 489.

[3] We must keep in mind that all the cooking in eighteenth-century Vienna was done with log fires. The dry horse manure that added to the dust exposure in the summer lets us imagine the environmental situation in the city.

[4] The erroneous assumption that Mozart lived in apartment No. 7 on the first floor
originated with Walther Braunies and Helmut Kretschmer. See Braunies, ‘Quartiere während der Wien-Aufenthalte 1762, 1767/68, 1773 und 1781, Wohnungen in Wien 1781-1791’, Mozart. Bilder und Klänge. Salzburger Landesausstellung Schloß Klessheim 1991, (Salzburg, 1991), 326 (henceforth Braunies 1991), and Helmut Kretschmer, Mozarts Spuren in Wien, (Vienna, 1990), 86 (henceforth Kretschmer 1990). The relatively small apartment on the first floor of Landstraße 224 (consisting of one room, a closet and a pantry) was used by the landlord. See A-Wsa, Steueramt, Fassion B33/9, fol. 224. Mozart would not have had enough space there to keep his billiard table and provide lodging for the domestic staff and the young Johann Nepomuck Hummel. A detailed description of Mozart’s dwelling on the Landstraße will be presented in a future publication.

[5] Contrary to information given by Braunies (Braunies 1991, 326, obviously copied from a 1956 article by Heinz Schöny) Mozart’s fellow freemason, the privy counselor Jacob Schosulan was not Mozart’s landlord on the Landstraße. He bought the house No. 224 but on 10 September 1788 after Mozart had already moved to the city. A-Ws, Grundbuch 23/10, fol. 43v.

[6] A-Wsa, Steueramt, Fassion B34/4, fol. 289. The ‘480 fl Hauszünß’ mentioned by Leopold Mozart in his letter to his daughter on 16 February 1785 may have included the rent for a stable. MBA III, 372. Based on Deutsch’s and Schöny’s research Julia Moore gives an incorrect number of rooms for two of Mozart’s Viennese apartments. Julia Moore, ‘Mozart in the Market-Place’, Journal of the Royal Musical Association, 114 (1989): 37. It’s interesting to compare Mozart’s rental expenses with that of other members of his circle: Count Franz Joseph Thun—apart from having the Ulfeld palace at the Minoritenplatz at his disposal—rented the entire first and second floor of Stadt 18 (today’s Palais Willeck at Herrngasse 5—a hitherto undiscovered important Mozart site) at the amount of 1,870 fl. A-Wsa, Steueramt, Fassion B34/1, fol. 21. The court agent Johann von Drofidik, a subscriber of Mozart’s Trattnerhof concerts (whose wife was the godmother of Nancy Storace’s daughter) lived on the second floor of Stadt 1109 at the Neuer Markt, where he paid 1,000 fl for five big and eight small rooms, two chambers, a kitchen, an attic, two storage vaults for wood, a stable for four horses and a garage for two carriages. Ibid., B34/5, fol. 99. The court agent Gottfried Ignaz von Pleyer (Barbara Pleyer’s uncle and also one of Mozart’s subscribers) had an office and an apartment in the house Stadt 756, where he paid 350 fl for the office plus 710 fl for eight rooms, two chambers, a pantry, a kitchen and a stable. Ibid., B34/4, fol. 122. The actor and playwright Gottlieb Stephanie the younger was able to afford a 10-room apartment at Stadt 1170 for 700 fl (ibid. B34/5, fol. 207), while the singer Volentin Adamberger (Mozart’s first Belmonte) was more in Mozart’s category with a 6-room apartment on the 5th floor of Stadt 1067 at 300 fl. Ibid. B34/5, fol. 29. The singer Francesco Bussani (Mozart’s first Don Ottavio) could afford to pay 450 fl for seven rooms at Stadt 208. Ibid. B34/1, fol. 295. Surprisingly modest was the apartment of actor Joseph Lange and his wife Aloysia, née Weber who lived on the third floor of Stadt 884 (Grünangergasse) in 1786 when the current annual rent amounted to 960 fl 50 1/4 x. No corrections of the recorded rents of Alsergrund 135 were entered in the Formular I of 1,870 fl. A-Wsa, Steueramt, Fassion B34/27, fol. 218. The gross rental earnings for the house Alsergrund 135 were 1,026 fl. From this amount 10% taxes and 6 fl 33 3/4 x extra taxes for Mozart’s garden had to be deducted. The net profit of the annual earnings for the house Alsergrund 135 were entered in the Formular I of the Fassion. A-Wsa, Steueramt, Fassion B33/1, fol. 41. The Mozart entry was overlooked in 1991 by Walther Braunies who writes: ‘Dies wird auch durch die alle Namen von Wohnungsmietern in sämtlichen Häusern in und vor der Stadt enthaltenden Hausbeschreibungslisten der Josephinischen Steuerfassung bestätigt, in denen Mozart zum Stichtag 24 April 1788 in keiner[!] der Frage kommenden Wohnungen aufscheint.’ (‘This is confirmed by the [...] Josephinische Steuerfassung, where on the key date 24 April 1788 Mozart’s name appears in none of the apartments in question.’). Braunies 1991, 325.


[16] A-Wsa, Steueramt, Fassion B34/27, fol. 218. The gross rental earnings for the house Alsergrund 135 were 1,026 fl. From this amount 10% taxes and 6 fl 33 3/4 x extra taxes for Mozart’s garden had to be deducted. The net profit annum amounted to 960 fl 50 1/4 x. No corrections of the recorded rents of Alsergrund 135 were entered in the Formular I of the Fassion. A-Wsa, Steueramt, Fassion B33/1, fol. 41. The Mozart entry was overlooked in 1991 by Walther Braunies who writes: ‘Dies wird auch durch die alle Namen von Wohnungsmietern in sämtlichen Häusern in und vor der Stadt enthaltenden Hausbeschreibungslisten der Josephinischen Steuerfassung bestätigt, in denen Mozart zum Stichtag 24 April 1788 in keiner[!] der Frage kommenden Wohnungen aufscheint.’ (‘This is confirmed by the [...] Josephinische Steuerfassung, where on the key date 24 April 1788 Mozart’s name appears in none of the apartments in question.’). Braunies 1991, 325.

[17] Doppler was a ‘Türkenbechermaler’ (painter of Turkish cups) in the porcelain factory in the Rosau.

[18] Kurzmayr ran a pub in the house No. 135.

[19] This difficult-to-identify cleric may have been a member of the Schottenstift.


[22] Daughter of a captain of the King’s life guard (1715-1794).
von Mozart Wolfgang, k.k. Kapellmeister, Kind Theresia 6 Mon[ät] Gedärmfrais. [27 June 1788 Mozart himself describes his apartment as being ‘pleasurable, comfortable and cheap.’


[29] Bauer (see fn 1) mixes up the houses No. 244 and 245 on Judenplatz and erroneously assumes that in 1789 the Mozarts moved into the house where they had already lived in 1783. Owing to an error copied from Brauneis (who in 1991 gave two different houses with the same name) Bauer is also unaware of this house’s sign ‘St. Nikolaus’. Mozart’s rent at Stadt 244 as presumed by Bauer (‘225 bis 250 Gulden’) is much too low. Bauer, Mozart. Geld, Ruhm und Ehre, 83.

[30] It is less likely that Mozart rented apartment No. 4, because in 1788 the revenue officer noted: ‘Welche Wohnung der Hauseigentümer itzt besitzt’ [‘This apartment is now used by the house owner’]. Heißenstamm may have kept the apartment. A-Wsa, Steueramt, Passion B34/1, fol. 350-51. Regarding Stadt 245 Helmut Kretschmer boldly presumes: ‘Those quarters were very modest.’ Kretschmer 1990, 104.

[31] ‘Wiens Mozarthäuser’, Neue Freie Presse. Morgenblatt, No. 8352, (1887), 3. The author of this article was slightly puzzled by Otto Jahn’s misreading of the address given in Mozart’s letter to Puchberg as ‘bei den 5[sic] Sternen’.

[32] A-Wn, ST 2316F, ST 593F and ST 592F.

[33] A-Wn, F56, Müller 86/1. I’m grateful to David Black for pointing me to this photograph.

The research for this article was generously funded by the Music & Letters Trust. A version of the article with more extensive illustrations may be found at http://homepage.univie.ac.at/michael.lorenz/alsergrund/.

ASECS Sessions 2011

The 2011 Annual National Meeting of the American Society for Eighteenth-Century Studies will take place in Vancouver, British Columbia, from 17–20 March. The Mozart Society of America will be sponsoring two sessions. The first is entitled "Mozart and Allegory" and will be chaired by Edmund Goehring. The session invites proposals from a range of disciplines to consider the usefulness of allegory as a category for understanding Mozart’s stage works. Jane K. Brown, Professor of Germanics and Comparative Literature at the University of Washington and author of The Persistence of Allegory (Pennsylvania, 2007), has agreed to be one of the presenters for the session.

The second session, "Theater and Censorship in the Habsburg Lands," will be chaired by Lisa de Alwis. Its purpose is to examine the effects of censorship on works and their performance. Topics of interest might include regional variety in the enforcement and practice of censorship, its guiding principles, and the treatment of specific pieces. Submissions from a variety of disciplines (e.g. political science, history, musicology, theater history, art history) are welcome.

To submit a proposal to either session and for other information, including descriptions of other planned sessions, please go to http://asecs.press.jhu.edu. The deadline for submissions is 15 September 2010.
Assiduous calculators have determined that Mozart lived 13,097 days and was on journeys 3,720 of them. Naturally, we do not know what Mozart did and experienced on each individual day, but in comparison with other people of the eighteenth century, we know remarkably many details.

This is due above all to the extensive family correspondence. The letters Leopold sent to Salzburg during the great trip through Western Europe were planned to serve as a journal, intended to keep up to date not only the family of his landlord and financial backer Johann Lorenz Hagenauer but an entire circle of friends and enviers. The private family letters also reveal much about the concerts Mozart gave and operatic performances he attended. Annotations on the original musical manuscripts and later the autograph Verzeichniss aller meiner Werke yield important information about the dates of many of his compositions. Further sources include diary entries by his sister and friends, newspaper reports, reports of concerts, and advertisements of music.

This disparate material, published in many different places, has been brought together on the website of the Internationale Stiftung Mozarteum in a new way: www.mozarteum.at/mensch-mozart/mozart-kalendarium.html. The point of entry is a perpetual Mozart calendar.

For instance, the Salzburg Festival opens on July 25 this year. On another July 25, in the year 1765, the nine-year-old Mozart was in Canterbury with his family on the trip through Western Europe. In 1777 on this date there was a rehearsal at the home of the Salzburg merchant and amateur musician Giovanni Battista Gusetti including a symphony, a violin concerto, and a flute concerto by the 23-year-old composer—very possibly the first reference to the flute concerto in G major, K. 313. Finally, in 1788, Mozart entered the great G minor symphony K. 550 in his catalog on this date; with a click of the mouse one may see the original wording of the entry, call up the musical text of the Neue Mozart-Ausgabe, and hear for free a performance of the work.

Still richer is our information about July 26: in 1766 Mozart was in Lyon; in 1773 he was visiting Franz Anton Mesmer in Vienna, in 1781 visiting Count Coblenzl on the Reisenberg. Finally in 1791 the family was celebrating the birth of Mozart’s youngest son Franz Xaver, who would follow his father’s career as a composer without ever quite filling his shoes. Clearly there are gaps in our information, for July 26 is the feast of St. Anne, which would certainly have been celebrated in the Mozart family each year with music as it was the name day of both Mozart’s mother and his sister.

The entries are searchable for each day of the year or one may look at all the entries for a particular year; in that way one may also see the events that cannot currently be assigned to a particular day in Mozart’s life, though they may be placed within a well-defined time frame. One may also search for Mozart’s encounters with particular contemporaries such as Joseph II or Haydn, or for a particular composition, such as Don Giovanni or Die Zauberflöte. The calendar is not only of interest to the casual visitor but also offers researchers a substantial body of well-documented information about Mozart’s life and work. Further data will be added and the existing material will be made keyword-searchable, so that the user will be able to survey with a click what we know about such matters as Mozart’s residences, his illnesses, or his concert activity. In the course of time perhaps some of the remaining gaps can be filled in. For instance, we as yet know nothing about what Mozart did or experienced on July 28 in any of the 36 years of his life.

—Ulrich Leisinger
Internationale Stiftung Mozarteum, Salzburg
translated by SCF

---

Discount for Mozart Society Members

Cambridge University Press is offering members of the Mozart Society of America subscriptions to Eighteenth-Century Music at a 20 per cent discount. Thus a print subscription may be purchased for US$26 or £16. Simply state that you are a member of the Mozart Society of America and e-mail your request as follows:

Members based in North America:
Send request to subscriptions_newyork@cambridge.org

Members based outside of North America:
Send request to journals@cambridge.org

Customer service will then complete the subscription process.

Amadeus and his little dog Pumperl.
Courtesy of GATEWAY4M and American Public Television.
See story: REVIEWS — A New Mozart Comes to America on page 20.
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REPORTS FROM CONFERENCES

After “After The Magic Flute”

On March 5-7, 2010, about fifty scholars and students convened in Berkeley, California, to attend “After The Magic Flute,” an interdisciplinary conference held at UC Berkeley’s Department of Music. The topics of the papers ranged widely, and I will not attempt to summarize them all here (a full list of titles and presenters from the conference appears at the website http://music.berkeley.edu/about/magicflute.php), but I hope to convey at least some of the fascinating arguments and exchanges that were packed into this intensive weekend focused on Mozart’s beloved Singspiel.

The First Session

The first session commenced on Friday afternoon with three papers, with discussion held to the end of the set. Francis Maes delivered a paper that dealt largely with interpreting William Kentridge’s recent staging of The Magic Flute, set in South Africa (following the practice of the conference organizers, I will refer to Mozart’s opera by its English title throughout this report). As a counterpoint to Kentridge, he also focused on Karol Berger’s book, Bach’s Cycle, Mozart’s Arrow. It was the first of several mentions of Berger’s work throughout the weekend, both in papers and discussions. Berger was in the audience for Maes’s paper, and attended several other sessions. Estelle Joubert gave the second paper, which focused on close readings of the environmental surroundings in the story of The Magic Flute.

The final paper of the first session was given by Martin Nedbal. Comparing The Magic Flute to Così fan tutte, Nedbal identified what he calls “maxim moments” in both the German and Italian operas, conspicuous moments when the characters step out of the plot and deliver morals directly to the audience. The difference, Nedbal argued, is that the German maxims are given with a straight face. In fact, he connected the practice of moralizing to a broader agenda of exclusive German didactic nationalism, of which The Magic Flute is Mozart’s prime example. Discussion commenced, and though it covered all three papers, Nedbal’s argument dominated. The depth, precision, and persistence of the questions revealed that the conference had brought together a diverse and highly specialized group of scholars, eager to engage. This first session set the tone for the rest
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of the weekend: there were several very strong papers, and the discussion was almost always lively. What’s more, it was clear to all of us in 125 Morrison Hall that Mozart’s enigmatic Singspiel, so often theorized and dissected, can still raise the passions and intrigue of those who know it through and through.

The Two “Afters”

As its title indicates, this conference opened the door for various perspectives on The Magic Flute outside the normal bounds of its creation and première. The “after” in “After The Magic Flute” has two meanings, as stated in the conference’s call for papers. The first is the more literal, in the sense of subsequent versions, adaptations, and revivals of the opera. But it also refers to our present point of view in the historiography and criticism of The Magic Flute, one that is in a sense “after” the scholarship. This post-scholarship approach was meant to open the door to decentralization of received opinion about the opera.

The greater proportion of the conference proceedings fell in the first category, as can be demonstrated by a brief summary of some of the papers and the film screenings. Many of the papers examined The Magic Flute in the context of a particular time, place, or demographic. Rachel Cowgill examined the highly politicized first performances of The Magic Flute in England—first in the concert repertoire and only later as a complete opera. William Gibbons examined the 1909 revival of the “authentic” Magic Flute (meaning a closer adherence to the original libretto) at the Opéra Comique in Paris, and the accompanying campaign by French classicists against the loose adaptations that had been popular in the previous century. Yet another category investigated was adaptations for young people, a surprisingly fecund category including story book, animated, and teen novel versions. Kristi Brown-Montesano pointed out in her paper that adapting the story for children raises issues about race (most graphical portrayals of Monostatos are reprehensible), parenthood (the Queen is typically portrayed as unambiguously evil), and broken families (Pamina, caught in the middle between mother and father figures, can be quite a relevant character to young females today). Paul Cornelison’s paper, “Josepha Hofer, the first Queen of the Night,” dealt with a family relationship of another sort, as of course Hofer was the sister of Mozart’s wife.

The most in-depth look into adaptations of The Magic Flute was Jane Brown’s paper, “‘The Monstrous Rights of the Present’: Goethe and the Humanity of The Magic Flute.” Brown, a literary...
After “After The Magic Flute”
continued from page 13

historian from the University of Washington, was the first of two featured speakers at the conference. She gave an hour-long paper on Goethe’s involvement with stagings of The Magic Flute in Weimar in the 1790s. If The Magic Flute is an emblem of the Enlightenment, then Goethe’s versions—especially via the libretto adaptation by his employee Christian August Vulpius and Goethe’s sequel—showed the Enlightenment’s dark underbelly of machismo, misogyny, and class disparity.

A unique and welcome aspect of the conference was the film screenings, held both evenings. They gave attendees access to extended portions of rare or exclusive films related to film screenings, held both evenings. They gave attendees access to extended portions of rare or exclusive films related to The Magic Flute. The first night featured longer excerpts of William Kentridge’s production, and a complete viewing of a short film from 1935 called Papageno. The film, by Lotte Reiniger, features stop animation shadow puppets to tell the story of Papageno and Papagena, with abridged musical numbers from the opera. It is a delightful, silhouetted curiosity that is still pleasing to the eye 75 years after its creation.

The second evening of screenings began with Pamina Devi: A Cambodian Magic Flute, which retained parts of the story, but featured completely new music in the Cambodian style. The highlight of the evening for me was the opportunity to see excerpts from Impempe Yomlingo, a version of The Magic Flute by the South African artistic company Isango Portobello. James Davies and Sheila Boniface-Davies introduced the film, and from what I understand, this was an exclusive, unreleased recording of this brilliant production in rehearsal. In contrast to Kentridge’s production, which commented on South African politics by turning the official nature of the old Apartheid opera house in on itself, director Mark Dornford-May and Isango Portobello transformed Mozart’s music into South African vernacular idioms. The overture is played on marimbas. The magic flute, though panto-mimed downstage, actually sounds as a Hugh-Masekela-inspired trumpet, played by a musician upstage. At the beginning of Act II, Mozart’s choruses for the priests (in English) are interspersed with South African isicathamiya (in Zulu). Equalling the Production’s immense creativity was the superb vocal performance of the cast, who sang most of Mozart’s original melodies in operatic style. The result was convincing and exhilarating.

Multimedia materials related to these films, as well as other topics from the proceedings were on display throughout the conference in the Music Department’s Faculty Lounge. The main highlight was the recently published edition of the facsimile score of The Magic Flute by Packard Humanities Institute. Also on display was iconography from various productions, and a collection of the many children’s and young adult adaptations collected by Kristi Brown-Montesano and Adeline Mueller, including a rare out-of-print adaptation by John Updike from 1962.

Decentralization of meaning in The Magic Flute—second of the two “afters”—also pervaded the papers. Throughout the weekend, I heard no debate over the “true meaning” of the opera—not even during coffee breaks. In place of a unified theory was a bundle of diverging but non-exclusive threads through the work. Each scholar worked one or more different perspectives, including race (Francien Markx), gender (Peter Hoyt), and voice (Adeline Mueller, Francien Markx), along with the many others already mentioned.

Wye J. Allanbrook, our second featured speaker of the weekend, did tackle the issue of how to deal with Magic Flute scholarship head on. She began by taking stock of all the prevailing scholarly views of the work, by such noted scholars as David Buch, Richard Taruskin, Joseph Campbell, Rose Rosengard Subotnik, Karol Berger, and Carolyn Abbate. She then added her own interpretation to the list, inviting us to view The Magic Flute as a domestic comedy. But rather than being yet another “key,” Allanbrook argued that comedy is ultimately a foil to notions of the opera’s unified, higher meaning. In a refreshing frank phrase, she urged us when approaching The Magic Flute to “avoid transcendence mode.”

I had the pleasure of meeting Dr. Allanbrook, who sadly passed away so recently, for the first time during coffee break. She was the first to admit that The Magic Flute was not her area of expertise, but her insights into the opera resonated soundly with me. Her willingness to strike out into new territory is an inspiration that I will not soon forget.

The Final Session

The true test of a conference comes on its final day. Weary and saturated minds tend to lag, and anyone who has been in the Sunday morning paper position knows what a tough slot it can be. The presenters on the final day (all giving papers performing character analyses) passed the test handily, and the conference organizers should be congratulated for their choices of programming.

Hayoung Heidi Lee presented a character analysis of Papageno, identifying repetition and replication as the essence of the character. Beyond the more obvious hm hm hms and pa pas and hopsasas, Lee showed how Papageno’s character became an archetype, replicating itself in later generations of German theater. Schikaneder himself commented on the repetition of his role as Papageno in a performance of 1801, when instead of “Der Vogelfänger bin ich ja,” he sang a substitute text that began “Dreihundertmal sang ich schon da, / War immer lustig, hopsasa!” (“I already sang 300 times here, / I was always jolly, hopsasa!”). By contrast Francien Markx discussed the more general problem of “the voice of the native in 18th-century German opera.”

Peter Hoyt’s paper, “Pamina as a Character Drawn from Eighteenth-Century Erotica,” also held the attention of the audience—not only for the obvious reason, but also because of the intriguing argument. Hoyt analyzed several plates from 18th-century editions of The Magic Flute that depict Pamina asleep and Monostatos advancing, moments away from accosting her. By connecting these portrayals to similar examples in 18th-century erotica iconography, Hoyt argued that—at least in the eyes of the artists who painted those plates—Pamina may have been in real danger of succumbing to Monostatos, in a vulnerable moment of inflamed passions.

The final paper was given by Adeline Mueller, the main conference organizer and our generous host for the weekend. Her explication of the relationship between mother and daughter, as seen through their similarities in voice and musical content, was a stimulating end to the proceedings.
This conference was dreamed up by a quartet of young scholars, all of whom gave papers: Adeline Mueller, Estelle Joubert, Hayoung Heidi Lee, and Martin Nedbal, who realized that they were all writing dissertation chapters or works-in-progress about The Magic Flute. The smoothness of the operations and overall success of the conference should be cheered, and furthermore should inspire graduate students and younger scholars to take similar initiative.

As the list of productions, adaptations, and interpretations accumulated during the conference, I never got the feeling that we were running out of things to say about this one opera. Indeed, the opposite held true. The more the presenters delved into each production, the more questions surfaced. The more I learned about how The Magic Flute intersected, reflected, or cross-cut distinct cultures in time and space, the more I realized that even such a specialized conference could only scratch the surface of the topic. Several of the papers presented at the conference, as well as some new research undertaken since that time, are slated to be published in a forthcoming issue of Opera Quarterly devoted to the creative reception of The Magic Flute in sequels, productions, and adaptations.

—Mark C. Samples
Eugene, Oregon

American Society for Eighteenth-Century Studies

The 2010 Annual Meeting of ASECS took place in Albuquerque, New Mexico on 18-20 March. MSA sponsored a session on “Teaching Mozart,” with Kathryn Libin chairing. The abstracts of the three papers on the session follow (a fourth scheduled paper, by Roye Wates, could not be presented):

Mozart Objects: History as Thought in the Teaching of Mozart
Edmund J. Goehring

Reinhard Strohm’s remark, now nearly two decades old, that musicologists tend to offer students only their “second-best insights” belongs to a wider critique of materialist and structuralist conceptions of music history. Strohm sharply distinguishes between “the past” on the one hand and “historical context” on the other. History, he elaborates, does not involve objects but instead thought—it is an action we enter into.

I would like to consider some implications of Strohm’s historiography for teaching, especially in undergraduate curricula. Music history understood as a series of objects for classification has a big presence in many textbooks, and one of its undeniable lures is that, as Stanley Cavell has noted of an analogous kind of literary interpretation, it is very teachable: isolating elements of a style or a historical era seems to give control over that topic. This comfort comes at a price, however. Removing human agency from art and history weakens the sense of a work of art as something that a person has done, where our task is to engage with the technical, aesthetic, and ethical significance of that achievement.

The questions that Strohm raises extend well beyond the teaching of Mozart, of course, but Mozart’s celebrated formal control, especially when seen as an expression of the popularized equation of the Enlightenment with rationality, makes his music especially susceptible to a resolution from thought into structure. This presentation will conclude by considering examples of how it might look to teach Mozart’s forms not as mechanical objects but as products of a musical mind.

Teaching Figaro: Approaches and Sources
Bruce Alan Brown

The extraordinary length and complexity of Mozart’s Le nozze di Figaro, noted already at the time of the premiere by its librettist Lorenzo Da Ponte, and the multiplicity of historical contexts into which this opera buffa can be situated (pre-Revolutionary politics, Beaumarchais’s career, Mozart’s involvement with the Viennese theaters, the spread of Italian opera and its performers across Europe, etc.) make it a rich but challenging work to teach, especially at the undergraduate level. In my own attempts to teach the opera I have resisted the temptation to emphasize “typical” features of its genre and “universal” aspects of its plot (which are largely self-evident), and have instead sought to convey as much of the work’s particularity and historicity as possible. Doing this involves emphasis of primary over secondary sources (with necessary accommodations for language), close attention to the visual and sonic dimensions of Beaumarchais’s play, practical experience of the model play and of the opera’s dance prototypes, and critical engagement with some of the more thoughtful and provocative of recent productions of Mozart’s opera. Numerous technological developments within the last few years, such as ECCO (Eighteenth-Century Collections Online), the Gallica website of the Bibliothèque nationale de France, Google Books, and Opera in Video have greatly extended the range of materials that can be brought to bear on Figaro.

Enlightenment Ideals as Communicated Through Mozart’s Use of Articulation Markings
Mary Robbins

The variety, intensity and depth of emotional expression in Mozart’s music exemplifies an unusual aspect of Enlightenment ideals as expounded in Gotthold Lessing’s “Boundaries between Painting and Poetry” of 1766, in which he defended the virtues of poetic expression of the painful, the tearful and the passionate.

Mozart wrought eloquent details of expression through his particular use of diacritical markings. Only with the recently published Bärenreiter edition have we had a reliable and generally available print source featuring Mozart’s articulation markings. We now see that Mozart’s particular use of markings indicate a further level of expression beyond usual harmonic, rhythmic, and dynamic relationships of tension and resolution, toward a heightened expression consonant with Lessing’s theory of poetics.

Despite the profoundly enlivening effects of Mozart’s articulation markings, this historic expression in his music is largely untaught. This breach is most likely due to 19th century editors whose focus was on the ever-increasing sustaining capacities of instruments and who, apparently misunderstanding Mozart’s markings, changed them in ways that distorted their values. Unfortunately, this confusion has persisted with editions still in circulation today.
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This paper proposes an exploration of the purpose and function of Mozart’s use of articulation markings, as well as how they can be taught, through the following points:

• Mozart’s manuscripts show consistency in his use of articulation markings.
• This consistency constitutes a determinable diacritical system of discrete effects, indicating relative dynamic and rhythmic values.
• When sounded according to this diacritical system, the relative values produce nuances and inflections similar to those of speech patterns, communicating a depth and vitality of expression that is a hallmark of Mozart’s style.
• The relative values indicated by Mozart’s diacritical markings can be transferred from Mozart-era instruments to modern instruments (through a specific technical approach designed for this purpose).

In summation I propose that Mozart’s diacriticals are essential to our understanding, appreciation and teaching of Mozart, since it is through his particular use of articulation markings that he indicated how he ‘heard’ his music and its expression.

As always at ASECS, presentations of musical interest may be scattered among work on other topics. Another Mozart paper appeared on a session on “Music, Science, and Technology: Technologies of the Music Chamber: Instrumental Prostheses and the Mozartean Sound”

Samuel Breene

Instruments may be regarded as tools for shaping musical sounds, and as Michael Hardt and Antonio Negri observe, “Tools have always functioned as human prostheses, integrated into our bodies through our laboring practices as a kind of anthropological mutation both in individual terms and in terms of collective social life.” (Michael Hardt and Antonio Negri, Empire [Cambridge, 2000], 217.) The notion of musical instruments as prostheses offers a useful approach toward the music of eighteenth-century figures such as Mozart. As his letters reveal, Mozart was keenly aware of instrument technologies, particularly involving the keyboard. Indeed, these technologies exerted a profound influence in all spheres of musical practice during Mozart’s lifetime. The cultivation of instrumental skill was considered essential to developing one’s personhood among elite classes throughout Europe, contributing to the rising aesthetic value of chamber genres such as sonatas, piano trios, and string quartets. More surprising, perhaps, is the emerging conception of vocal sound production in overtly instrumental terms, apparent in several period descriptions of the vocal apparatus as a kind of “mechanical action.” Such descriptions challenge the vaguely held notion of songfulness in Mozart’s music, in which the voice is somehow preserved as a “natural” element untouched by any cultural considerations. Instead, it is worth recognizing the thoroughly modern constructions of aura and vocal music.

Having established the technological basis of the Mozartean sound, the paper then considers the role that instruments played in philosophical debates about sensing and feeling during the latter half of the eighteenth century (the Age of Sensibility). Instruments such as the clavichord and fortepiano offered the possibility of shaping sound through extremely fine gradations of touch, but how did this technology reflect changing notions of self? Were musical instruments thought of as haptic prostheses that could lead to new perceptions of reality, as was the case with microscopes enhancing sight? Does the eighteenth-century practice of varied articulation represent a conscious attempt to communicate meaning through the hands, thereby incorporating touch into the semiotic framework? How did bodily gesture come to be recognized as a valid means of musical expression alongside vocal utterance, the traditional sonic mark of “soul”? By providing some preliminary answers to these questions, the paper seeks to demonstrate how technologies of late-eighteenth-century Europe provided a material foundation for instrumental music to emerge as a potent medium for exploring the mysteries of human subjectivity, a story that usually begins with the first generation of Romantics in the years following Mozart’s death.

A session on “The House of Habsburg and Its Influence II,” devoted to musical matters, included one further paper on Mozart:

Mozart Among Austria’s Neoplatonists

Edmund J. Goehring

In 1780, the Viennese native Anton Cremeri published a tribute to Joseph II devoted exclusively to the monarch’s involvement with theater. Cremeri advances an especially enthusiastic version of what Wangermann and others have identified as a neoplatonic theory of the stage. Theater is the ideal medium for shaping a nation’s morals because it, to a degree impossible in other forms of suasion, joins reason’s dictates to beauty’s sensuality. The stage, including opera, renders concrete, practical, and appealing models of virtue.

The potential for social good promised in a neoplatonism like Cremeri’s inspired the major reforms of the Austrian stage in the 1760s and 1770s and continued to exert influence into the 1780s. And what of its authority for Habsburg Vienna’s most famous opera composer, Mozart? Most musicological scholarship focuses on Mozart against forms of classicism rather than platonism, and yet comparison with the latter can help to sharpen our understanding of his stagecraft. As a correspondent, Mozart will sometimes adopt neoplatonic language. His famous letter about Osmin’s rage, for example, asserts the priority of pleasure in artistic representation: even when it comes to unruly passions, art must never disgust, must never cease to please the ear. Yet this is at best a weak, non-ideological neoplatonism. Mozart’s stated aim is to convince and please, not to produce better human beings.

The testimony of the operas themselves is still more ambiguous. Portions of The Magic Flute make an almost Boethian equation of musical with social harmony, but the Italian comedies strike out on a different path. This paper will conclude by examining a couple of episodes from Don Giovanni that sever the link between moral rectitude and sensuous pleasure, an implicit challenge to neoplatonism that helps to account for the dismayed critical reception that Don Giovanni elicited in the years immediately following its premiere.
Society for Eighteenth-Century Music

SECM held its fourth biennial meeting at St. Francis College in Brooklyn Heights, New York, on 8-11 April 2010.

Before the meeting proper, the Morgan Library and Museum treated a number of attending scholars to a look at some of its musical holdings, including the autographs of Mozart’s piano sonata K. 310 and piano concerto K. 467. Frances Barulich, our host, told us that the Morgan is digitizing many of its music manuscripts and will be putting high-quality scans of them on the Web (http://www.themorgan.org/home.asp), some of them with accompanying material such as beta-radiographs of the watermarks. As the Morgan holds the finest collection of Mozart autographs outside Europe (a checklist appears in the August, 1997 issue of this Newsletter, available on our website: http://mozartsocietyofamerica.org/publications/newsletter/archive/MSA-AUG-97.pdf), this project will be of particular interest to lovers of Mozart.

While there was no session devoted to Mozart at the meeting, several participants dealt with Mozart topics. Estelle Joubert reprised the paper she had given at the Berkeley conference on The Magic Flute (q.v.). Peter Heckl discussed the sources for the horn quintet K. 407, which like some other works Mozart wrote for his friends Joseph Leutgeb (horn) and Anton Stadler (clarinet) presents difficulties in determining the original text, so that secondary material—in this case, wind-ensemble arrangements by one Joseph Heidenreich—may bear on the issue. Edward Green continued a series of papers he has been giving on the compositional technique of chromatic completion, presenting evidence that Mozart taught it to his pupils Barbara Ployer and Thomas Attwood. Other papers not specifically about Mozart were of considerable interest for Mozart studies, notably one by Lisa de Alwis (which won the SECM Graduate Student Award for best student presentation at the conference—there were several fine ones to choose from) correcting some errors in the received account of the rules for theatrical censorship in the Habsburg realm.

A fuller report appears in issue 16 of the SECM Newsletter; the issue will be posted at http://secm.org/.

—SCF

About Our Contributors

Paul Corneilson is managing editor of Carl Philipp Emanuel Bach: The Complete Works, published by The Packard Humanities Institute. His recent work includes an essay on C.P.E. Bach’s tenor and copyist Johann Heinrich Michel in Er ist der Vater, Wir sind die Bab’n: Essays in Honor of Christoph Wolff, ed. Paul Corneilson and Peter Wollny (Ann Arbor, 2010) and an edition of Spiega, Ammonia fortunata, Wq 216, and Musik am Dankfeste wegen des fertigen Michaelisturms, H 823 (CPEB: CW, V/5.2, in press).

Joan G. Gonzalez received her Ph.D. in European History from UCLA (June 2010). Her dissertation, titled “Opera as Propaganda: The Trajan-Napoleon Parallel in Le Triomphe de Trajan,” explores Napoleon’s use of opera for purposes of political legitimation and propaganda. Dr. Gonzalez has written encyclopedia articles and reviews on a range of historical and musical topics and has presented papers on Mozart and Beethoven at conferences such as the XIF Congrès International des Lumière de la Société Internationale d’Étude du XVIIIème Siècle in Montpellier, France.

Michael Lorenz studied cello and oboe at the Hochschule für Musik und darstellende Kunst in Vienna (diploma 1990), and musicology at the University of Vienna (Ph.D., 2001). He has received grants from the Jubiläumsfonds der Österreichischen Nationalbank, the Österreichische Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Music & Letters Trust. After having worked with the Privatstiftung Esterházy he is currently doing research based on a grant from the Hochschuljubiläumsstiftung der Stadt Wien. Dr. Lorenz has published widely on Mozart and Schubert.

Adeline Mueller is a doctoral candidate in History and Literature of Music at the University of California, Berkeley, where she is completing a dissertation entitled “Pamina’s Journey: Youth and the Young in Late Eighteenth-Century German Opera and Lieder.”

Mark C. Samples is a Ph.D. candidate in musicology and Graduate Teaching Fellow at the University of Oregon. He is also the dramaturg for the UO Opera Workshop, which last year produced Mozart’s Die Zauberflöte.
Conspiracy Theory


The last few months of Mozart’s life have attracted many conspiracy theories, especially in regards to the commissioning and completion of his famous Requiem, K. 626 and the cause of his premature death in only his 36th year. These two conspiracies were fed in part by rumors attributed to Mozart himself: in the last few weeks of his life Mozart supposedly claimed that he had been poisoned and that he was writing the Requiem for himself. Such myths have been debunked by William Stafford and others. But there is something appealing about such conspiracies: if his enemies had been jealous enough to have poisoned Mozart, it would show how much greater a composer he was than they were, and of course a slow-acting poison is also much more dramatic than saying he succumbed to an illness like rheumatic fever. Mozart becomes more Christ-like, too, if he really could foresee his death.

There have been numerous conspiracy theories about Magic Flute: that Mozart composed much of it in a small hut enticed by women and wine; that the poet and composer changed the plot at the end of the first act; that Mozart basically gave the opera away to his friend and fellow Mason, Emanuel Schikaneder (or more likely the impresario conned him); and that Schikaneder did not write the libretto at all, rather it was cobbled together by Karl Ludwig Giesecke (alias Metzler, who later became an internationally renowned geologist). Michael Freyhan has been on a quest since 1959 to prove that the original text of Die Zauberflöte is not what Mozart wrote in his autograph (or what was printed in the Viennese libretto in 1791), but rather the text of the first edition (published by Simrock in 1814), which according to Freyhan has “a purity of word-music relationship of the highest Mozartian quality” (p. 1).

The problem with this theory and therefore most of the book is that this is an opinion, not a fact. The faulty logic is transparent: Mozart was a genius; his operas exhibit the highest standards of word-music relationship. Therefore, he would only have set the highest quality texts. Freyhan first aired his hypothesis 25 years ago, in an essay titled “Toward the Original Text of Mozart’s Die Zauberflöte,” Journal of the American Musicological Society 39 (1986): 355–80. If anything, the additional “evidence” he has brought forward in the book has done more to damage his thesis than to improve upon it.

Let me be absolutely clear: the original text of the Magic Flute is what Mozart wrote in his autograph score, published in facsimiles by the Packard Humanities Institute (2009) and Bärenreiter (1979), and now available online at http://digital-b.staatsbibliothek-berlin.de/digitale_bibliothek/zauberfloete.html (accessed 29 May 2010).

In June 1743 Felix Mendelssohn asked Nicolaus Simrock’s son Peter Joseph to restore the original text, lest future generations get a false impression of Mozart’s work. Simrock replied that the Hamburg musical director Carl David Stegmann had persuaded his father to base the 1814 edition on “the new, improved text” not the original text. (The two letters are quoted in Freyhan, pp. 78–80.) Rather than accepting case closed, Freyhan continues to cling to the idea that the first edition was based on “an original manuscript score” presumably obtained directly from Constanze Mozart. Since Freyhan cannot identify the manuscript that Constanze sent to Max Franz, Elector of Cologne, in 1792, it is impossible to say whether this included the autograph text or that of the first edition, as Freyhan prefers to assume. (Exactly how and when Simrock obtained this score is uncertain, as recounted with much speculation in chapter 3.)

On the other hand, the Simrock manuscript full score from the archive of the Stadttheater, Hamburg, now in the Staats- und Universitätssbibliothek Hamburg Carl von Ossietzky, ND VII 256, clearly shows that the autograph text was crossed out and replaced by the first edition text (Freyhan, p. 82; see also photo 15 [sic], four plates showing the beginning of the duet “Bei Männern” in act 1.) As Freyhan says, the new text reflects the Hamburg performance copy, but it also seems likely that this was the copy-text for the Simrock first edition. Simpler explanations can be correct, rather than more convoluted ones offered by Freyhan.

One of the unspoken assumptions underlying Freyhan’s quixotic effort is an idea of fidelity to authorial intention that was still alien to Mozart and his contemporaries. (This would eventually change with the concept of “Fassung letzter Hand” in the work of Goethe and Beethoven and subsequent generations.) Goethe’s son-in-law Christian August Vulpian made “improvements” to Schikaneder’s text for the production in Weimar in 1794, and his was not the only attempt to do so. Directors are still pruning and revising the dialogue today, a practice Schikaneder himself would have condoned even though he objected to others tampering with Magic Flute. Schikaneder, who did not live long enough to see the first edition in print, would have had right to complain about not receiving any royalties for it. Constanze must have received some kind of payment for sending a manuscript score to Bonn in 1792, though presumably not from Simrock in 1814.

Appendix A offers “The Character and Career of Karl Ludwig Giesecke (1761–1833).” Without providing any further evidence, Freyhan apparently wants to credit Giesecke for the original Magic Flute libretto. Others, including Peter Branscombe and David Cairns, consider this a hoax. Mozart himself occasionally changed Schikaneder’s text: for instance, the last line of the duet “Bei Männern” ends with “Reichen an die Götter an” in Schikaneder’s libretto, which Mozart altered to “reichen an die Gottheit an” (gods vs. godliness). The Simrock first edition has “Reichen sich den Göttern an” (gathers around the gods). Is this really an improvement?

The biggest disappointment is appendix B: “The Complete First-Edition Libretto, with Autograph Differences and a Literal English Translation.” As a direct comparison showing the differences in the texts, this would be most useful; however, it is rendered almost unintelligible in the layout in two columns, alternating German and English translation in seemingly
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random order. (Why break in the midst of the second stanza of Papagena’s first song? See pp. 200–201.) The only occasional references are to the pagination of the Simrock score, with no references to scene division in the opera. This could have been done much more comprehensively either with four columns in a broadside layout, or perhaps even better in two columns upright with German on the left side and English translation on the right side of the spread.

To me, more interesting questions about the text of the Magic Flute pertain to other details. For instance, when was the third verse added for Papageno’s first aria, “Der Vogelfänger bin ich ja”? Both the original libretto and autograph have only the first two verses, as does the first published vocal score (Artaria, 1791; published in the 2009 facsimile, vol. 3, pp. 131–33). By the time of the early keyboard reductions by Fridrich Eunike, published by Simrock in Bonn (c. 1793) and by Carl Zulehner, published by Schott in Mainz (1795), there are three verses instead of two, which are also included in the full-score first edition. (All three of these editions are available for viewing at Harvard University’s online website Hollis; simply type the keywords “Mozart Zauberflöte” in Digital Resources.) Presumably, the third verse was not sung on opening night but was only a later addition, undoubtedly to give Schikaneder (or one of his successors) a little more time on stage. Did Schikaneder write it? He could have improvised extra verses, and perhaps he did vary the number of verses and their wording from night to night in the course of more than two hundred performances. But today, the third verse is accepted as “authentic.” Freyhan of course notes that the third verse is lacking in the autograph score (p. 201), but doesn’t comment on it at all. (It strikes me as extremely odd that in his recording of the opera John Eliot Gardiner goes to great pains to change the word “Schlange” (serpent) to “Lowe” (lion), which Mozart had crossed out in his autograph in Tamino’s second line in act 1, in an attempt to restore the “original reading” while blithely including all three verses in “Der Vogelfänger” without comment.)

The fact is Mozart’s text underlay corresponds closely to the Schikaneder’s printed libretto, except for minor variants. (Peter Branscombe estimates 50 differences.) There is one significant omission in act 1, scene 17, where after Pamina sings “Die Wahrheit! sei sie auch Verbrechen” (The truth, even were it a crime) Mozart moves directly into the chorus in scene 18, “Es lebe Sarastro! Sarastro soll leben!” But Schikaneder had intended a short intervening duet for Pamina and Papagena:

Die Wahrheit ist nicht immer gut, Weil sie den Großen wehe tut; Doch wär sie allezeit verhälßt, So wär mein Leben mir zur Last.

The truth is not always good, because it can be painful to the great; yet if it were detested all the time, then my life would be a burden to me.

Perhaps Mozart sensed the importance of Pamina’s line about Truth and decided to include yet another moralizing maxim and move directly to Sarastro’s entrance. Or maybe he was running short on time and skipped this verse. Could it be that Mozart found the text distasteful or too blunt? The sentiment seems to contradict what Pamina had just said. He didn’t have to set to music the misogynist and racially biased dialogue, which makes it easier for us to neglect this aspect of the Magic Flute. (Excusing it as culturally acceptable for late-eighteenth-century Europeans is no longer adequate today.) Ultimately, whether or not one believes the first-edition text is superior to the autograph text depends on personal preferences and tastes. If you think the text of the first edition is better, credit that to an anonymous arranger/poet, not to Mozart.

—Paul Corneilson
The Packard Humanities Institute

The Musical Emperor


With this volume, Derek Beales, emeritus professor of modern history at the University of Cambridge, concludes the biography that he began 22 years ago with Joseph II, Vol. I: In the Shadow of Maria Theresa 1741-1780 (Cambridge, 1987). The first installment whetted the appetites of all of us eager to learn more about this remarkable man who so prized the musician he found in the young keyboard virtuoso and composer Mozart—newly arrived in Vienna 100 days after Joseph had become absolute ruler of the entire Austrian Monarchy. With his study of Joseph II as sole ruler of the Austrian Monarchy in those final ten years (virtually coincident with Mozart’s last ten years, when the composer was living in Vienna and often encountering Joseph II directly), Beales has provided an unparalleled portrait of the person and his impact on his times, one extending far beyond the Austrian Monarchy and making its influence felt throughout the 19th century and even today.

Reading through this second volume, we come to learn a great deal about the aims and ambitions of this extraordinary individual, now enjoying the authority and a freedom of action denied him so long as Maria Theresa lived. But that is not all. Chapter 13 is devoted to “Joseph in Vienna: his routine and his impact, especially on music” and herein we come to the section on “Music and drama, with special reference to Mozart”, a broad-gauged look at the cultural scene in Vienna in the 1780s with, as it says, special reference to Mozart.

Professor Beales had previously turned his attention to Mozart. In his collection of essays published in 2005 as Enlightenment and Reform in Eighteenth-century Europe (J. B. Tauris), he devoted a chapter to “Mozart and the Habsburgs”. (This can be read on the Apropos Mozart website, www.aproposmozart.com.) The discussion in the new book is, of course, much more centered on Joseph’s preoccupation with music as such and how Mozart came to profit from the Emperor’s musical tastes and interests. The Mozart scholar will encounter many familiar names in the extensive source footnoting that Beales provides: Neal Zaslaw, Stanley Sadie, Peter Branscombe, and Cliff Eisen, to mention just a few.

The perspective Beales provides gives new understanding to the world in which Wolfgang Mozart had to make his way in those last ten years. And I would submit continued on page 20
A New Mozart Comes to America

Wunderkind Little Amadeus (Season 1). Naxos and GATEWAY4M, 2009.


On 27 October 2009, Naxos and GATEWAY4M released the United States version of the complete first season of Wunderkind Little Amadeus. Priced at $24.99, this boxed set contains the first thirteen episodes in four DVDs and includes a bonus Educational Activities CD-ROM. The DVDs have four language options (English, Spanish, French, and German) and include an interactive music game. The second season, with the concluding thirteen episodes, is yet to be released.

Wunderkind Little Amadeus takes viewers into the world of the eight-year-old Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart. Amadeus is portrayed as an amusing, curious, fun-loving, and personable little boy, who is very conscious of his talents. Although slightly arrogant and mischievous at times, Amadeus is a likeable character. He loves music and playing with his older sister Nannerl, his little dog Pumperl, and his best friend Kajetan Hagenauer.

Intended for children ages four to eight, the series’ goal is to foster a love of classical music among its young viewers. The series combines biographical events from Mozart’s childhood with fictional storylines, and uses Mozart’s music as its soundtrack. Included among the show’s real characters are Mozart’s sister Nannerl, his parents Anna Maria and Leopold, and the Hagenauer family. Amadeus’ friend Kati, Lorenzo Devilius, Mario Carrieri, and Monti the Rat are some of the fictional characters.

The complete Little Amadeus consists of twenty-six half-hour episodes divided into two seasons:

Season 1
101. Solo for Amadeus
102. The Stolen Watch
103. Pumperl in Trouble
104. Kidnappers
105. The Birdseller
106. Mixed Up Violins
107. Rumors
108. The Bet
109. Mysterious Drink
110. The Wrong Boat

Season 2
111. The Dancing Harbor
112. Street Musicians
113. Never Kiss an Empress

Most of the story takes place in Salzburg, but we are also taken along on the Mozarts’ trips to Vienna and Munich. The typical storyline essentially involves Amadeus getting into some kind of dilemma because of the villains’ plotting. Lorenzo Devilius is jealous of Amadeus’ talent and wishes that his nephew, Mario Carrieri, could compete with the young protagonist. With the help of the scheming Monti the Rat, Devilius concocts all kinds of plans to hinder little Amadeus and his family.

The cartoons are definitely very entertaining, but they have some shortcomings in terms of historical accurateness and plotline. The first and perhaps most obvious, is the decision to call the boy composer Amadeus, when as a child, Mozart was called Wolfgang or Wolferl. Also, there tends to be an anachronistic use of the music. For example, Amadeus is an eight-year-old little boy, yet he is already composing the music for Die Zauberflöte, Symphony No. 40, and other works by the mature Mozart.

There are other factual errors such as the following ones. Amadeus’ best friend, Kajetan, is ten years old (two years older than Amadeus) in the series. The historical Kajetan Hagenauer (1746-1811) was ten years older than Mozart, so in 1764 he was eighteen years old not ten. In addition, Mozart’s real childhood dog was named Bimperl, not Pumperl. Lastly, the chronology of the trips is not always correct. In 1764, the real Mozarts were away from Salzburg because they were on the Grand Tour, visiting Paris and London, among other cities.

— Bruce Cooper Clarke
Vienna
In terms of the plotline itself, the bad characters do not really contribute anything to the story, so what is the point of creating fictional villains? Amadeus could have gotten into difficult situations all by himself and that could have formed the focus of the episodes. Besides, there are times when the antagonists turn out to dominate the episode and one rarely sees Amadeus. What is more, at the end of each episode there is an educational segment called “Monti’s World.” Rather than featuring Amadeus or his friends, the devious rat is the one who hosts this brief section. I think viewers would rather see more of little Amadeus than his envious rivals.

*Wunderkind Little Amadeus* is excellent proof of Mozart’s continued importance in popular culture. Not only does Mozart have a new face, but he also has a new and wider audience. Children from around the world are expected to have greater exposure to Mozart and classical music in general because of this animated series. Mozart marketing has reached new levels with *Little Amadeus*. While enjoying some Mozartkugeln, Mozart lovers can now buy *Little Amadeus* LeapPad books and games, puzzles, board games, action figures, read-along books, and many other items for their children (or for themselves). *Wunderkind Little Amadeus*’ timely release in Germany during the Mozart Year in 2006 served to increase its popularity. Despite its flaws, this cartoon series is a great tribute to Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart and is a treat for Mozart fans of all ages.

—Joan G. Gonzalez
University of California, Los Angeles

---

**CONFERENCES**

Arranged chronologically; deadlines for paper/seminar proposals are given if known or not already passed. Note that abstracts of papers are frequently posted on the websites of societies.

**Midwestern American Society for Eighteenth-Century Studies**, 30 September—3 October 2010, Wichita, Kansas. Address: J. Karen Ray, Department of English, Washburn University, Topeka, KS 66621; e-mail: JKaren.ray@washburn.edu.

**Canadian Society for Eighteenth-Century Studies**, 14–16 October 2010, St. John’s, Newfoundland & Labrador, Canada. Theme: “Charting the Eighteenth Century: Encircling Land & Sea.” Plenary speakers include Isobel Grundy (University of Alberta, Edmonton) Jean-François Palomino (Bibliothèque et archives nationales du Québec, Montréal), and Pat Rogers (University of South Florida, Tampa). Address: Don Nichol (CSECS/SCEDHS 2010 Conference Organizer; Department of English Language & Literature; Memorial University of Newfoundland; St. John’s, NL, CANADA A1C 5S7; e-mail: csecs.2010@gmail.com; website: www.mun.ca/english/csecs/scedhs.php.


**Society for Eighteenth-Century Music**, during annual meeting of American Musicological Society, 4–7 November 2010, Indianapolis. For further information, see the website: www.secm.org.

---
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British Society for Eighteenth-Century Studies, 5–7 January 2011, St. Hugh’s College, Oxford, U.K. Theme: “Emotions.” Send proposals for papers and sessions to Dr. Jeremy Gregory, academic@bsecs.org.uk. Notification of acceptance will be by 31 October 2010. For further information, see the website: www.bsecs.org.uk/conference.

Bibliographical Society of America, 29 January 2011, 2:00 P.M., Grolier Club, New York City. Papers by Kyle B. Roberts, Michael Eisenberg, and Jason Powell, followed by annual meeting and plenary lecture, “The Bibliophile as Bibliographer,” by Eric Holzenberg.

South Central Society for Eighteenth-Century Studies, 17–19 February 2011, Saint Simon’s Island, Georgia. Address: Murray Brown, Georgia State University. See also the website: www.scescseecs.net.


Southeastern American Society for Eighteenth-Century Studies (SEASECS), 3–5 March 2011, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina. Plenary speakers: Evan Bonds (Music, UNC-Chapel Hill), Felicity Nussbaum (English, UCLA), and Peter Reill (History, UCLA). Theme: “Science and the Arts in the Long Eighteenth Century.” Submit proposals for papers and full panels by 1 November 2010 to Byron R. Wells, Department of Romance Languages, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC 27109, or wells@wfu.edu. See also the website: www.seasecs.net.


Eighteenth-Century Scottish Studies Society, 7–10 July 2011, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland (Research Institute for Irish and Scottish Studies, University of Aberdeen). Theme: “The Arts and Sciences of Progress.” Plenary speakers: Colin Kidd (Glasgow University) and Fiona Stafford (Oxford University). Send title and one-page description of panel or twenty-minute paper plus one-page C.V. by 15 November 2010 to Professor Cairns Craig, Director, Research Institute of Irish and Scottish Studies, Humanity Manse, 19 College Bounds, Aberdeen AB24 3UG, Scotland, UK; fax: 44(0)1224 273677; e-mail: cairns.craig@abdn.ac.uk. See also the website: www.ecsss.org/meetings.

Rousseau Association, summer 2011, University of Bristol, UK. Colloquium: “Rousseau’s Republics / Les républiques de Rousseau.” Organizer: Prof. Christopher Bertram. For further information, see the website: rousseauassociation.ish-lyon.cnrs.fr.

ACTIVITIES OF CITY AND REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS


Friends of Mozart, Inc. New York City. P.O. Box 24, FDR Station, New York, NY 10150 Tel: (212) 832–9420. Mario Mercado, President; Erna Schwerin, Founding President. Friends of Mozart sponsors concerts and also publishes newsletters and informative essays for its members. Admission free to all events. For further information, contact Mario Mercado, mario.r.mercado@aol.com.


FESTIVALS

Long Beach Mozart Festival, 5450 Atherton Street, Long Beach, CA 90815, Leland Vail, Artistic Director Tel: (562) 439–4073, e-mail: lelandvail@yahoo.com; lvail@csulb.edu. Website: www.longbeachmozartfestival.org.


Mainly Mozart Festival, San Diego. P.O. Box 124705, San Diego, CA 92112-4705 Tel: (619) 239-0100. David Atherton, Artistic Director. Performances by the Mainly Mozart Festival orchestra, chamber music, recitals, educational concerts, and lectures. Call for information about other series offered by Mainly Mozart.


Vermont Mozart Festival, 125 College Street, Burlington, VT. Summer festival, winter series. Tel: 802 862 7352. Website: vtmozart.com.

—Compiled by Isabelle Emerson University of Nevada, Las Vegas
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